



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,652	03/24	/2004	Jon Hebreo	Div	4614
26387	7590 02/02/2005			EXAMINER	
ROTH & GO		P.A.	GRAHAM, MARK S		
523 W. 6TH S SUITE 707	STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90	014	3711	<u> </u>	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			7,652	HEBREO ET AL.				
			ner	Art Unit				
	. <u></u> -	Mark S	6. Graham	3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	Idress			
A SHO THE I Exter after If the If NO Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of time may be period for reply specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. d) days, a reply within the tutory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	statutory minimum of the will expire SIX (6) MC application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status	,							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>12/2/04</i> .						
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>14-20</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>14 and 20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>15-19</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from						
Application	on Papers							
	Γhe specification is objected to by the	Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[Γhe oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority documents of the priority of the priority documents of the priority do	peen received. Deen received in Deents have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage			
				·				
Attachment 1) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		A) 🗆 Intention	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or R No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over You in

shape. However, You points out that adhesive or other means may be used to locate the device

view of Brandt. You discloses the claimed device with the possible exception of the distorted

in the handle. As disclosed by Brandt a known such means is to frictionally locate the device

inside the handle. (See Col. 6, lines 63-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the art in view of the combined teachings of You and Brandt to have frictionally located the

element in the handle. You's device when frictionally inserted into the handle necessarily has to

be distorted from its out of handle position to be held in place. You's device comprises a central

portion and two end portions spaced therefrom which are free to flex as claimed. Additionally

the central portion is axially spaced from the end portions.

Claims 15-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14 and 20 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number 571-272-4410.

MSG 1/31/05

Harks. Graham